

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/088,751	07/19/2002	Ralf-Uwe Bauer	4197-114 8808			
23448 7	7590 10/05/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY / TECHNOLOGY LAW PO BOX 14329			OSELE, MARK A			
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1734			

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/088,751	BAUER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark A Osele	1734				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from CAUSE the application to become ARANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timel the mailing date of this or	y. ommunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•	d ₁			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		•			
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	n-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa		152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/088,751

Art Unit: 1734

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over XP-002161407 (Rogowin S.A.). Rogowin S.A. teaches that it is known that bleached cellulose exhibits discoloration and decomposition at high temperatures due to the presence of carboxyl groups. This problem is present regardless what type of bleached cellulose is used or whether a particular solvent is possibly decomposed with the cellulose. This decomposition would occur whether the cellulose is heated in the dry state or in a solution. The use of cellulose with a particular carboxyl group is arbitrary and within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art. A person of ordinary skill addressing the problem of reducing cellulose decomposition would directly deduce from Rogowin S.A. that the carboxyl group content of cellulose must be as low as possible. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that this could be accomplished by either blocking the carboxyl groups as shown by Rogowin S. A. or keeping the carboxyl content as low as possible in the starting material. It progresses therefrom that decomposition will be lower when the carboxyl group content is low. In addition, the level of the carboxyl content in the product does not have a structural

Art Unit: 1734

impact, but merely affects the level of discoloration and the amount of discoloration that is acceptable is a choice for one of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References WO 97/23666 and European Patent Publication 047929 are cited for showing basic knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art in regard to carboxyl group content of bleached cellulose.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A Osele whose telephone number is 571-272-1235. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Fiorilla can be reached on 571-272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,751

Art Unit: 1734

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system; contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MARK A. OSELE PRIMARY EXAMINER

October 1, 2004